On-Street Response - Reference Number 1

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 2

I object to the proposed changes.

The reduction in spaces will deter people from using the shop on the high street. The change to the length of permittedbon Holford street dies not "increase short stay parking opportunities" as no additional spaces have been made. I fail to see how this could replace the parking available.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 3

I object to the proposed changes.

Parking charges are becoming too much. Its putting people off using the car parks and so putting the high street shops at risk. It's bad enough that everything else is going up in a cost of living crisis.

People would also like to see new ticket machines since the ones there are old and constantly breakdown. Why have they not been upgraded? Where is all the money going?

On-Street Response - Reference Number 4

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 6

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 13

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 18

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 24

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 34

I support the proposed changes.

There is not enough resident parking and I am often unable to park. People are getting so fed up, they are parking on double yellows because there is nowhere within a mile of your own home.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 37

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 41

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 42

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 47

I object to the proposed changes.

These parking bays are primarily outside retail and the park. They should be open to the public and not be permit holders only.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 56

I object to the proposed changes.

By removing the 1-hour free parking, the "service shops" in West Malling will suffer. For example, buying medication etc from the Chemist, using the dry cleaners to drop and pick up your cleaned items. With the additional charges, people will become less inclined to use those services and seek alternatives. This will result in these traders stopping providing their services

On-Street Response - Reference Number 57

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 61

I support the proposed changes.

This sounds favourable to residents.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 65

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 68

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

I would go one stage further and have 1hr/90 min max to give more chance of parking to visit the High Street. A rate of £1 for max 90mins would encourage trade to the High Street.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 76

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 77

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 79

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 82

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 83

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 85

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 93

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 95

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 98

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 102

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 104

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 105

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 109

I object to the proposed changes.

There are very few residential properties at the High Street end of Avebury Avenue. Most of the residential properties on it are at the Barden Road end and are apartment blocks with their own residents-only car parks.

Most of the High Street end of the road is industrial units with their own parking areas or commercial properties, including six eateries, that would suffer from the removal of spaces on the road in which customers could park.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 110

I object to the proposed changes.

You will close the high street independent small businesses will be hit, church congregation, community spirit.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 116

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 121

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 124

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 125

I support the proposed changes.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 127

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 141

I object to the proposed changes.

The continuing encroachment of resident parking schemes takes away choice and devalues economic opportunity for businesses. There is NO requirement for TMBC to alter parking arrangements, and the recent fiddling of restrictions locally to where I live has caused empty areas there residents parking has been introduced and congested areas - especially across junctions - which makes pinch points and danger zones that were not previously there. Residents demanding reserved parking knew what the arrangements were when they moved in. Short term pay parking will also generate more income for TMBC.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 147

I object to the proposed changes.

The high street is struggling.

If you impose the charges the use of the shops will lead to closure and we will stop popping in from Kings Hill to support the shops.

This is a short sighted move by the council which will hurt the community and the shop owners.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 148

I object to the proposed changes.

Town is already getting a kicking because of parking people just go to bluewater and shop

On-Street Response - Reference Number 152

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 170

I object to the proposed changes.

I frequently visit my family and friends in Tonbridge as this is where I was raised and having to pay for parking in evening and Sundays would mean I wouldn't visit as much

On-Street Response - Reference Number 174

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 175

On-Street Response - Reference Number 180

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 186

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 187

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 189

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 209

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 210

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 211

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 221

I object to the proposed changes.

- 1. Pay & Display should be limited to ONE hour. 2 hours still too long in area where so few bays available. If people need to park there longer, it's reasonable to expect them to park over the road at Sainsbury's.
- 2. Area proposed as exclusively permit parking should still allow 1 hour Pay & Display, because remaining Pay & Display spaces in the proposal will be insufficient for the valid reasons why non-resident might need to park in Avebury Avenue for a short time. Examples: access Library or Registrar; brief residential visit for which use of Visitor voucher would either be costly or not even available. This very short term daytime parking will not inconvenience resident parking.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 230

On-street Parking Proposals – Annex 9.01 (Avebury Avenue redacted responses) I am a parent of children at the local school and parking to take my children to school it's difficult already as there's no parking in my children's school and without this opposed plan as stated above and as I stated the parking now as it stands is already hard to tackle with children and dangerous for parents aswell as the school rush hour pick up / drops I believe this would mean again more cars being abounded in the car park outside school and in general where parking is free . Volume of un attended cars and often from the night life or from workers in the high street so I would support the need for parking wardens to assess who's leaving their vehicles when and for what purpose most cars in the normal road free car park are residents or from again night life or workers that leave for day/days even weeks at a time and parents are then left with worrying of receiving a ticket just to simply take their child/children to school or having to pay to do so when this I feel is totally not acceptable .

On-Street Response - Reference Number 231

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 238

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 242

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 247

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 248

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 254

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 262

(no comment supplied).

should be free sundays and bank holidays

On-Street Response - Reference Number 264

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 273

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 276

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 278

I object to the proposed changes.

Why would you not encourage people to come to WM to support our shops and community?

On-Street Response - Reference Number 285

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 286

I object to the proposed changes.

The addition of new parking charges in West Malling will be a huge inconvenience and will disincentivise me and others from visiting the shops and businesses on the High Street in favour of other places where parking is freely available (Kings Hill).

On-Street Response - Reference Number 287

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 289

I object to the proposed changes.

Neither support or object wasn't an option

On-Street Response - Reference Number 290

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 291

On-Street Response - Reference Number 297

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 299

I support the proposed changes.

Not concerned about this proposal.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 300

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 301

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 305

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 306

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 310

I support the proposed changes.

This does seem sensible if you are having issues there - I do not know the area though.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 326

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 327

I object to the proposed changes.

Just enforce the 1 hour free parking restriction. If you want to increase revenue increase the fine for over time parking

On-Street Response - Reference Number 333

On-Street Response - Reference Number 336

No view on this

On-Street Response - Reference Number 337

(no comment supplied).

I am neutral on this as it is not in my locality. My comments regarding West Malling parking proposals are below, but I note that you wish to encourage short stay visitors to Tonbridge, whereas you aim to make this more difficult in West Malling.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 345

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 348

I object to the proposed changes.

Increasing the costs will finish off the High Street shops. Extending the hours will mean that people who used to shop later to avoid the daytime charges will be forced to pay. THERE IS A COST OF LIVING CRISIS!!!!

On-Street Response - Reference Number 349

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 351

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 354

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 360

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 364

I object to the proposed changes.

Enough bays should be made for residents

On-Street Response - Reference Number 366

On-street Parking Proposals – Annex 9.01 (Avebury Avenue redacted responses)

If you consider that you may want yo do shopping, and eat in another buisness, you are limiting the time a customer has to do thus, therefore you may inadvertently, make customers choose to shop and eat elsewhere where there are not these restructions

On-Street Response - Reference Number 367

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 371

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 378

(no comment supplied).

I am not familiar with this location.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 386

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 391

I object to the proposed changes.

It will not help local businesses at all and encourage to park on street longer to get value fir the money they have had to pay for.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 397

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 401

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 404

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 406

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

I object to the proposed changes.

Not required.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 413

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 414

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 416

I object to the proposed changes.

I believe the parking should be kept as pay and display and that residents brought moved into those properties knowing the parking situation.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 424

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 425

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 430

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 437

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 438

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 439

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 447

I object to the proposed changes.

Me and my family use this parking for quick parking for the local shops.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 448

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 455

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 458

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 460

I object to the proposed changes.

Nonsense

On-Street Response - Reference Number 462

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 463

I object to the proposed changes.

I strongly oppose the introduction of on-street parking charges in West Malling Highstreet and Swan Street.

When driving through West Malling I will often stop when there is a parking space on the road to quickly pop into one of the small independent shops, the post office, charity shops or to grab a quick coffee. If I had to spend the time to do the meter, especially if I didn't have much time, didn't have any change on me or the app wasn't working (the 3G/4G phone signal in the Highstreet is extremely poor), I and many others would choose to go somewhere else more convenient instead. I have absolutely no doubt that the shops, cafes, restaurants and pubs will suffer significantly as a result of this and eventually we will lose another one of our highstreets, which already has numerous empty shop spaces. This is why I am strongly opposing these on-street parking charges.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 464

On-Street Response - Reference Number 471

(no comment supplied).

I am unaffected by these proposed changes and do not visit that area

On-Street Response - Reference Number 475

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 480

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 486

I object to the proposed changes.

The proposals are just a money-making exercise to try and get more money out of the people of West Malling as the Council is hard-up. If they go ahead they will really damage the high st which would be an awful pity just for the Council's greed. If you talk to people you do not hear at all that they want increased on-road parking charges. In fact they want lower charges for this. They are also not bothered about the Tesco loading bay parking situation and like it the way it is. The proposals are a very thinly veiled attempt to siphon off more money from the people of West Malling that is just not needed.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 487

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 489

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 497

I support the proposed changes.

Although I support the improvement for residents parking, there should be a couple of short stay free parking bays with a 15 or 30 minute waiting time to enable people to return books to the nearby library. Books are heavy to carry and for residents who live locally and would usually walk but have to pay to park to just pop their heavy books back to the library seems ridiculous and unfair.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 500

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 522

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 526

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 527

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 534

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 541

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 550

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 552

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 553

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 554

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 556

I support the proposed changes.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 558

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 569

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 570

(no comment supplied).

I have no idea where Avebury Avenue is, and so no useful comments to make.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 575

I object to the proposed changes.

Funny how you only care about residents in the borough-subsidised hell hole of Tonbridge...

Please let us leave TMBC when West Malling leaves the parliamentary constituency of Tonbridge and Malling. You only care about Tonbridge.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 576

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 577

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 578

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 579

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 580

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 582

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 585

I object to the proposed changes.

Dr surgery difficult to find parking when visiting surgery

On-Street Response - Reference Number 591

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 592

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 593

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 597

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 598

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 599

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 600

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 601

On-Street Response - Reference Number 603

I object to the proposed changes.

Do not feel it is necessary and deters people from coming

On-Street Response - Reference Number 610

I object to the proposed changes.

I have to regularly pick my grandchild up from west malling c of e school and they ask us not to park near the school due to safety reasons and the local residents as the parking there is awful

It is safer to park in the high street or close by such as swan street and walk to the school to pick up

How are parents and grandparents supposed to park to drop off and collect their children everyday if there is nowhere to park!

This will cause a lot more issues with parking at the school unless you can provide an alternative

Please think this through

On-Street Response - Reference Number 617

I object to the proposed changes.

I am unaware of the parking in this area but want to object related to west malling parking changes

On-Street Response - Reference Number 618

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 619

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 624

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 627

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 628

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 631

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 632

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 636

I object to the proposed changes.

No comment I don't know this are in Tonbridge and don't go there. I clicked in error and can't unclick

On-Street Response - Reference Number 638

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 640

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 646

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 648

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 651

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 652

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 654

I support the proposed changes.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 655

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 661

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 662

I support the proposed changes.

I want more parking to support my sons school.. it's disgusting that we don't have enough spaces in such a busy place

On-Street Response - Reference Number 663

I object to the proposed changes.

My children are at West Malling School and parking is already a problem at pick up. You have a pretty empty car park that parents could use to move them off the roads but you refuse to change the permit times, you now want to make it even harder to park on the roads. What are you thinking. Do you want kids to get an education or do you want to make money? Ridiculous

On-Street Response - Reference Number 668

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 669

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 670

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 674

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 675

On-Street Response - Reference Number 679

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 681

I object to the proposed changes.

The parking police have ruined West Malling, it used to be a busy little town with lovely shops but due to the fact you now have to pay to park many shops have closed down due to lack of trade! I can go to marks or next for a coffee avd park for free, it's only 10 mins down the motorway!

Greedy councils, yet again ruining town centres and independent shops

On-Street Response - Reference Number 685

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 689

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 693

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 695

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 697

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 700

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 703

I support the proposed changes.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 705

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 709

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 719

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 722

I object to the proposed changes.

I strongly object to the proposed introduction of parking charges across the west malling area and to the increase of existing fees. We are currently in a cost of living crisis and these fees will have only a detrimental effect on everyone in the area! It will prevent the most venerable from being able to freely visit the villages! it will reduce footfall in all local businesses who are already struggling! It will cause local business to close like the introduction of fees has in many other local villages.

West malling has virtually no public transport system due to the council cutting costs, meaning anyone less able bodied or elderly needs a car to visit the town. Introduction of fees will have a huge impact on these people too.

The paid car parks in the area are nearly always full, and the fees are already high. How can the council state they are loosing money when they are a cash cow with minimum upkeep and are all looked after by outside operators like ringo.

I hope the council takes a good look at itself and realises that it is supposed to make decisions in the best interests of the people and business it represents and help those people not harm them more in these trying times.

On-Street Response - Reference Number 725

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 731

I object to the proposed changes.

I object as this will destroy the life of west Malling. There are many local businesses that run out of west Malling, you place the parking charges in - means less people who come to west Malling. Surely we should be increasing the people supporting local businesses, not reducing.

I am very involved in the life of the church and within the week, we have many things going on, putting these parking charges means it's more stressful for people to attend the church events/services and therefore will not happen. This includes funerals...on an already very sad day, you're increasing the pressures and strains on them.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 734

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 736

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 737

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 748

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 753

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 754

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 767

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 768

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 770

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 776

On-Street Response - Reference Number 780

I support the proposed changes.

I have no direct involvement with parking on that road but it your statement is correct it does seem to make sense to help the residents

On-Street Response - Reference Number 789

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

On-Street Response - Reference Number 797

I object to the proposed changes.

The idea of introducing charges will promote more parking in streets and people can not afford to pay more during the cost of living crisis , I believe the best way is to introduce a flat fee in council tax of £5 to pay for this , saving everyone money in the long term I

On-Street Response - Reference Number 801

I support the proposed changes.

The removal of the metered parking spaces will free up spaces for residents. The current situation is not cost effective.